

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVE	≀T OR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
09/702,127	10/30/2000		James P. Alexander		CA9200000 US1	8742
25259	7590	12/03/2004			EXAMINER	
IBM CORPORATION 3039 CORNWALLIS RD.					NGUYEN, CHAU T	
DEPT. T81 / B503, PO BOX 12195					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
REASEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709					2176	

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/702,127	ALEXANDER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Chau Nguyen	2176					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the law thin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 S</u>	September 200 <u>4</u> .						
<u> </u>	s action is non-final.						
	, 						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
<i>,</i> — ,							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	•						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)					
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [Date					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

1. Amendment, received on 09/03/2004, has been entered. Claims 1-14 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chouinard, US Patent No. 6,671,701, and further in view of Brandt et al. (Brandt), US Patent No. 6,714,979.
- 4. As to claims 1 and 8-12, Chouinard discloses a method of synchronizing communications messages between a first back office system and a web-based application in a computer network, wherein the first back office system comprises a first back office database and a plurality of nodes for creating documents, the nodes communicating with the first back office database, the documents each

Art Unit: 2176

being identified with a primary key code and a timestamp designating the time of creation of each document, and the back office system generates document messages, the document messages each comprising one of the documents and primary key code and timestamp information for the one of the documents, the method comprising the steps of:

receiving in the web-based application a stream of the document messages from the back office system (col. 3, line 57 – col. 4, line 55: file server 106 acts as file manager (web-based application) receives, stores, and transmits files of data (stream of document messages) between LAN members, and data files created respectively at workstations 103 and 104 (back office system) may be routed to file server 106);

operating the web-based application database to maintain inbound document message information comprising primary key codes and said timestamps for selected document messages previously received by the web-based application database (Abstract, and col. 2, lines 14-44: a time and date stamp of the destination file is stored in a database);

comparing the primary key code of a new inbound document message received by the web-based application database with primary key codes maintained in the web-based application database for the previously received document messages (Abstract and col. 2, lines 14-44: for source files encountered for a second or subsequent time, the date and time stamps of all of the source files are compared against the database that contains file names, date and time information for destination files);

Art Unit: 2176

selecting a pair of corresponding document messages by identifying any one previously received document message having a primary key code corresponding with the primary key code of the new inbound message (col. 7, lines 12-32: detecting any source files that are a newer version than the corresponding destination files);

identifying the more recent document message in the selected pair of corresponding document messages by comparing the timestamp of the previously received document message in the message pair with the timestamp of the new inbound message in the message pair (Abstract, col. 2, lines 14-44 and col. 6, line 57 – col. 7, line 32: detecting any source files that are a newer version than the corresponding destination files); and

updating the web-based application database to record the inbound document message information for the more recent document message, the unique inbound document message; and the timestamps for the more recent and the unique inbound document messages (col. 2, lines 14-44 and col. 7, lines 12-32: replacing the previous time and date stamp in the database with the time and date stamp of the new conversion and saving the updated destination file in the destination directory in place of the previous destination file).

However, Chouinard does not explicitly disclose selecting a unique new inbound document message by identifying any new inbound document message with a primary key code which does not correspond to any of the primary key codes maintained in the web-based application database. Brandt discloses a

Art Unit: 2176

telecommunications data management/system architecture integrated with a novel Web/Internet based reporting system includes a file is read and compared to the NPA/NXX table and the country code, NPA, NXX, City Name, and State Name are used to see if that exact combination exists, and if it does not, then it will be added to the NPA/NXX table and added to the dimension add file (col. 3, line 60 – col. 4, line 23 and col. 17, line 51 – col. 18, line 6). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Brandt and Chouinard to include selecting a unique new inbound document message by identifying any new inbound document message with a primary key code which does not correspond to any of the primary key codes maintained in the web-based application database. Brandt's data management system permits use of existing hardware while allowing further growth to utilize new equipment at less cost and further allows for incremental expansion as applications and database capacities.

- 5. As to claims 2 and 14, Chouinard and Brandt disclose wherein the primary key comprises document type information and document identification information (Chouinard, col. 2, lines 14-44).
- 6. As to claim 3, Chouinard and Brandt disclose wherein the inbound document messages are communicated to an inbound queue prior to communication to the web-based application database (Chouinard, col. 4, lines 11-17).

Art Unit: 2176

7. As to claims 4 and 13, Chouinard and Brandt disclose wherein the inbound document messages are communicated to a multi-threaded inbound message processor prior to communication to the web-based application database (Brandt, col. 27, line 66 – col. 28, line 7. Brandt's system would support processing and storage of customer's data in a form suitable for expedient access and presentation as a report for customers over the World Wide Web/Internet).

- 8. As to claim 5, Chouinard and Brandt disclose wherein the inbound document messages are generated by a multi-port message generator (Brandt, col. 11, lines 6-27: Using multi-port message generator would provide process data or messages faster, more efficient and for load balancing).
- 9. As to claim 6, Chouinard and Brandt disclose logging an error when a new inbound message in a selected of a corresponding document messages does not have the more recent timestamp in the selected pair (Brandt, col. 7, lines 20-24, col. 14, lines 45 col. 5, line 20: Brandt's system would support processing and storage of customer's data in a form suitable for expedient access and presentation as a report for customers over the World Wide Web/Internet).
- 10. As to claim 7, Chouinard and Brandt disclose identifying the new inbound message in a selected pair of corresponding document messages which does

not have the more recent timestamp in the selected pair and segregating said new message from further processing according to a predetermined process path (Brandt, col. 15, line 51 – col. 16, line 7: for the purpose of support processing and storage of customer's data in a form suitable for expedient access and presentation as a report for customers over the World Wide Web/Internet).

Response to Arguments

In the remarks, Applicant(s) argued in substance that

A) "References fail to teach or suggest a stream of document messages."

As to point A), Chouinard discloses in col. 3, line 57 – col. 4, line 55: file server 106 acts as file manager (web-based application) receives, stores, and transmits files of data (stream of document messages) between LAN members, and data files created respectively at workstations 103 and 104 (back office system) may be routed to file server 106.

11. Applicant's arguments filed 09/03/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Please see the rejection and response to arguments above.

Art Unit: 2176

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension

of time policy as set forth in 37CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire

THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is

filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory

action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory

period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory

action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will

the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Chau Nguyen whose telephone number is

(571) 272-4092. The examiner can normally be reached at 8:00 am - 5:00 pm

Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on (571) 272-4090. The fax

phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned are (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the

status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist

whose telephone number is (703) 305-3230.

Art Unit: 2176

Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

Box AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20131

Or Faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for **formal communications**; please mark

"EXPEDITE PROCEDURE").

Or:

(703) 746-7240 (for informal or draft communications, please

label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Or:

(703) 872-9306 (for After Final Communications).

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Chau Nguyen Patent Examiner Art Unit 2176

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER